



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,727	03/23/2007	Geoffrey Furneaux	HO-P03292US0	6885

26271 7590 12/24/2008
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP
1301 MCKINNEY
SUITE 5100
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARC A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1794

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/572,727	Applicant(s) FURNEAUX, GEOFFREY	
	Examiner MARC A. PATTERSON	Art Unit 1794	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7 and 9-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7 and 9-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102(e)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tankersley (U.S. Patent No. 6,957,915 B2) as evidenced by Hanyu et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0176974).

With regard to Claim 7, Tankersley discloses a sheet material (film; column 5, lines 37 - 40) and package (bag; column 4, line 33) that is compostable (biodegradable; column 5, line 30); the film has a total of three layers (column 6, lines 8 - 11) and the inside layer has a lower seal initiation temperature than the outer and exterior layers (column 2, lines 66 - 67); the film therefore has a compostable substrate layer and a first compostable and sealable layer on a first side of the substrate layer and a second compostable and sealable layer overlying the first sealable layer, wherein the second sealable layer has a lower heat sealing initiation temperature than the first heat sealable layer. The inside layer also has a higher hot tack than the outer and exterior layers (column 5, lines 47 – 54). Tankersley does not disclose that a sealing strength is measured by hot tack, but Hanyu et al teach that sealing strength is measured by hot tack (paragraph 0052). Tankersley therefore discloses a first region, between the inside layer and the

Art Unit: 1794

outer layer bonded to the inside layer, and a second region, between the outer and exterior layers, the first region having a higher seal strength than the second region.

With regard to Claim 9, the package is a bag, therefore capsule, as discussed above, containing a beverage making ingredient (carrot; column 4, lines 42).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tankersley (U.S. Patent No. 6,957,915 B2).

Tankersley discloses a package comprising sheets as discussed above. Tankersley discloses a method comprising bonding two of the sheets in face - to - face relation (column 5, lines 3 - 12). Tankersley fails to disclose sealing the webs around a margin, under a first set of conditions in a first region of the margin and under a second set of conditions in a second region of the margin, providing a peel strength that is different in the first and second regions. However, because Tankersley discloses heat sealing of a package, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, through routine optimization, to have selected sealing temperatures, therefore conditions, for regions of the margin depending on the desired peel strength in regions of the end product.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tankersley (U.S. Patent No. 6,957,915 B2) in view of Jerdee et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,333,087 B1).

Tankersley discloses a package comprising a substrate layer as disclosed above. The layer comprises an ethylene copolymer (column 5, lines 24 - 25). Tankersley fails to disclose a layer that is a barrier layer.

Jerdee et al teach a package (column 1, line 21) comprising heat sealable ethylene vinyl alcohol for the purpose of providing a package having enhanced oxygen barrier properties (column 1, lines 36 - 42). One of ordinary skill in the art would therefore have recognized the advantage of providing for the heat sealable ethylene vinyl alcohol of Jerdee et al in Tankersley, which comprises a package comprising a heat seal layer, depending on the desired barrier properties of the end product. It therefore would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided for a layer comprising ethylene vinyl alcohol, therefore a barrier layer, in Tankersley in order to obtain a package having enhanced oxygen barrier properties as taught by Jerdee et al. Because Jerdee et al teaches a barrier layer, Jerdee et al teaches a filter sheet.

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections of the previous Action have been carefully considered but have not been found to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues, on page 7 of the remarks dated September 16, 2008, that Tankersley does not disclose a second heat sealable layer having a lower heat sealing initiation temperature

Art Unit: 1794

than a first sealable layer and a first region having a relatively high sealing strength and a second region having a relatively low sealing strength.

However, as stated above, a second heat sealable layer having a lower heat sealing initiation temperature than a first sealable layer and a first region having a relatively high sealing strength and a second region having a relatively low sealing strength are disclosed by Tankersley.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Marc A Patterson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794